JOC*Article*

Stereoselective Synthesis of Chiral 3-Aryl-1-alkynes from Bromoallenes and Heterocuprates

Anna Maria Caporusso,*,† Alessia Zampieri,† Laura Antonella Aronica,† and Donatella Banti‡

Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Via Risorgimento 35, 56126 Pisa, Italy, and School of Pharmaceutical and Chemical Sciences, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road, Kingston upon Thames - Surrey, KT1 2EE, United Kingdom

capored@dcci.unipi.it

Received October 28, 2005



The synthesis of chiral 3-aryl-1-alkynes **3** via cross-coupling of 3-alkyl- and 3,3-dialkyl-1-bromo-1,2-dienes **1** and arylbromocuprates (RCuBr)MgBr·LiBr **2** was examined. With phenylcopper reagents and its para-substituted derivatives, as well as with 2-naphthyl cuprates, the reaction gave compounds **3** with high regioselectivity and good yields on the chemically pure product. On the contrary, when ortho-substituted phenyl reagents and 1-naphthyl cuprates were used, the regioselectivity of the process was very dependent upon the steric requirements of the alkyl substituents on the bromoallenic substrate. When the steric bulk was increased, remarkable quantities of isomeric arylallenes **4** were also observed in the reaction mixtures. The high 1,3-anti stereoselectivity of the coupling process allowed us to obtain enantiomerically enriched 3-aryl-1-alkynes from optically active allenic substrates, thus indicating a simple pathway toward the synthesis of quaternary stereogenic centers characterized by an aryl group. A possible cross-coupling mechanism was also suggested to explain the regio- and stereochemical data. For the preparation of ω-functionalized 3-phenyl-1-alkynes, the reaction of 1-bromo-3-phenylpropadiene with Knochel reagents RCu(CN)ZnCl·2LiCl was also studied; this reaction led to the acetylenic compounds in high yields mainly when the R group (also ω-functionalized) on the copper reagent was primary.

Introduction

Simple terminal acetylenic compounds are increasingly used as fundamental substrates in transition-metal chemistry for the synthesis of high valued organic compounds (e.g., sp to sp^2 couplings, oxidative dimerizations, aminoalkylations, silylformylation, to name a few).[1] It is worth noting the potentiality of optically active 1-alkynes as key intermediates in the preparation of a wide range of chiral molecules.[2]

It was demonstrated that the cross-coupling reaction between optically active allenic bromides **1** and bromocuprates (RCuBr)-MgBr·LiBr, **2**, (Scheme 1) was the most simple and convenient way to obtain enantiomerically enriched chiral acetylenes characterized by a tertiary or a quaternary stereogenic center α to the triple bond.[3]

The reaction proceeded in a highly 1,3-anti stereoselective fashion. The regioselectivity of the process appeared to be sensitive to steric interactions, the size of the R substituent in the copper species being the dominant factor.[3d] Indeed, when phenyl or *n*-alkyl bromocuprates **2** were used, the acetylenic compounds **3** were obtained in good yields independently of

† Università degli Studi di Pisa.
‡ Kingston University.

(1) For review, see: (a) *Modern Acetylenic Chemistry*; Stang, P. J., Diederich, F., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, 1995. (b) Brandsma, L.; Vasilevsky, S. F.; Verkruijsse. H. D. In *Application of Transition Metal Catalysts in Organic Synthesis*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1998. (c) *Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions*; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998. (d) Marciniec, B. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *14*, 527−538. (e) Vizer, S. A.; Yerzhanov, K. B.; Al Quntar, A. A.; Dembitsky, V. M. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, 5499−5538.

10.1021/jo0522456 CCC: $33.50
Published on Web 02/09/2006

SCHEME 1



R^1=H, Alkyl, R^2=Alkyl
(RCuX)M = (RCuBr)MgBr·LiBr, **2**
RCu(CN)ZnCl·2LiCl, **5**
R_2CuLi, **6**; RCu(CN)Li, **7**
R= Alkyl, Phenyl

the structure of the allenic bromide. When tertiary, secondary, or α-branched primary copper reagents were used, the competitive formation of the allenic derivatives **4** was favored (Scheme 1).[3a,d] In a second stage of our study we introduced the use of aliphatic zinc-based cuprates RCu(CN)ZnCl·2LiCl **5** for the same cross-coupling processes.[4] These zinc cyanocuprates (Knochel reagents)[5] reacted with bromoallenes **1** with higher regioselectivity than the corresponding magnesium bromocuprates **2**, affording acetylenes **3** almost quantitatively even when hindered allenic substrates and secondary or α-branched primary copper compounds were used as starting materials. Only when R was a tertiary group could the steric requirements of the substrate have a determining effect on the product distribution. The cross-coupling reaction with the Knochel reagents could also be performed, maintaining very high regio- and stereoselectivities, using aliphatic zinc reagents and catalytic amounts of copper salts (10 mol %).[4] Phenyl zinc-based cuprates such as PhCu(CN)ZnCl·2LiCl reacted with compounds **1**, affording instead complex mixtures of acetylenic and allenic products (**3** and **4**, respectively) in both the stoichiometric and the catalytic reactions.[4] As reported,[3a,b] other types of phenylcopper reagents, such as Ph_2CuLi, **6**, and PhCu(CN)Li, **7**, gave essentially phenylallene derivatives **4**. It appeared therefore evident that chiral 3-phenyl-1-alkynes **3** could be obtained in high yields in copper-mediated coupling processes only when the phenylbromocuprate (PhCuBr)MgBr·LiBr was used (Scheme 1). Consequently, we extended the scope of our research by elucidating the synthetic utility of the reaction between 1-bromo-1,2-dienes **1** and a series of arylbromocuprates in the preparation of chiral 3-aryl-1-alkynes **3** (R = Ar). The present paper deals with the outcome of the reactions as related to the structural features of the aromatic bromocuprate reagents. An accurate investigation on the stereochemistry of the coupling process was also carried out with enantiomerically enriched bromoallenes.

Taking into account the high selectivity for compounds **3** we obtained when reacting bromoallenes **1** with functionalized zinc-based alkylcyanocuprates **5**,[4] we also explored the reaction of these copper reagents with 3-phenyl-1-bromopropadiene as a potential synthetic approach to functionalized 3-phenyl-1-alkynes. Indeed, it is well-known that 3-phenyl-1-bromoallenes react with the alkylbromocuprates **2** to afford mainly substantial amounts of polymeric byproducts.[3a]

Results and Discussion

Coupling of Bromoallenes with Arylbromocuprates. A. Regiochemical Results. The complex arylbromocuprates **2a**−**k** used as nucleophiles were prepared in situ in THF from $LiCuBr_2$ and 1 equiv of the appropriate aryl Grignard reagent.[3a] The racemic 1-bromo-1,2-dienes **1a**−**d** were also obtained chemically pure and in high yields (70−90%) from the corresponding propargylic alcohols through well-known procedures.[6] According to the experimental conditions previously reported for reactions between compounds **1** and the phenylbromocuprate **2a**,[3a] all of the experiments were carried out adding a tetrahydrofuran solution of the bromoallenic substrate **1** to a stirred suspension of 2 equiv of the arylcopper reagent **2**, cooled at −70 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and carefully followed by GC analysis of hydrolyzed samples; in general, a reaction time of 30 min at room temperature was adequate for a complete conversion of the substrate into a mixture of 3-aryl-1-alkyne **3** and the 1-aryl-1,2-diene **4**. The two products were separated by fractional distillation or elution on silica gel column (*n*-pentane as eluent) and identified by chromatographic and/or spectroscopic methods (Table 1).

The acetylene/allene ratio, determined by ^{1}H NMR and GC analyses on the crude reaction mixtures, was found to be mainly influenced by the structure of the bromocuprate; the regiochemical results are summarized below:

(i) Phenylbromocuprate **2a** (Table 1, entries 1−3)[3a] and para-substituted arylcuprates (Table 1, entries 5, 6, 11−16) led predominantly to the acetylenic products **3**; independent of the bulkiness of substituents R^1 and R^2 on the bromoallene **1**, product **3** was generally obtained chemically pure (62−86% isolated yields). With ortho-substituted arylcuprates we observed greater amounts of the allene derivative **4** which eventually became the main product as the bulkiness of R^1 and R^2 increased enough (Table 1, entries 4, 7−10).

(ii) The electronic nature of the substituent on the aromatic ring of the cuprate (electron-donating or electron-withdrawing group) did not significantly affect the regioselectivity of the reaction. Indeed, the acetylenes were always the major products in reactions with para-substituited cuprates (Table 1, entries 5, 6, 11−16).

Very similar results were obtained employing naphthylcuprates. Also in these cases we found that the structure of the cuprate played a crucial role in the regioselectivity of the

(2) See as examples: (a) Giacomelli, G.; Rosini, C.; Caporusso, A. M.; Palla, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 4887−4891 and references therein. (b) Kishimoto, Y.; Itou, M.; Miyatake, T.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *Macromolecules* **1995**, *28*, 6662−6666. (c) Pertici, P.; Verrazzani, A.; Pitzalis, E.; Caporusso, A. M.; Vitulli, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *621*, 246−253. (d) Aronica, L. A.; Terreni, S.; Caporusso, A. M.; Salvadori, P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 4321−4329.

(3) (a) Caporusso, A. M.; Polizzi, C.; Lardicci, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 3920−3923. (b) Caporusso, A. M.; Polizzi, C.; Lardicci, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6073−6076. (c) Caporusso, A. M.; Consoloni, C.; Lardicci, L. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1988**, *118*, 857−859. (d) Polizzi, C.; Consoloni, C.; Lardicci, L.; Caporusso, A. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *417*, 289−304. (e) Caporusso, A. M.; Aronica, L. A.; Geri, R.; Gori, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *648*, 109−118.

(4) Caporusso, A. M.; Filippi, S.; Barontini, F.; Salvadori, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 1227−1230.

(5) Knochel, P.; Singer, R. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2117−2188.

(6) (a) Landor, S. R.; Patel, A. N.; Wither, P. F. *J. Chem. Soc. C* **1966**, 1223−1226. (b) Montury, M.; Goré, J. *Synth. Commun.* **1980**, *10*, 873−879. (c) Elsevier, C. J.; Vermeer, P.; Gedanken, A.; Runge, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 364−367. (d) Caporusso, A. M.; Zoppi, A.; Da Settimo, F.; Lardicci, L. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1985**, *115*, 293−295.

TABLE 1. Coupling Reactions of Arylbromocuprates 2 with Bromoallenes 1[a]



	Bromoallene, **1**			Arylbromocuprate, **2**		Products	Yield (%)[b]	
Entry		R^1	R^2		Ar	**3,4**	**3**	**4**
1	**1b**	H	t-Bu			**ba**	90(81)	10
2	**1c**	Me	Et	**2a**		**ca**	81(65)	19
3	**1d**	Me	t-Bu			**da**	91(84)	9
4	**1d**	Me	t-Bu	**2b**		**db**	62	38
5	**1d**	Me	t-Bu	**2c**		**dc**	92(78)	8
6	**1a**	H	Me	**2d**		**ad**	86(71)	14
7	**1a**	H	Me			**ae**	82(62)	18
8	**1b**	H	t-Bu			**be**	58	42
9	**1c**	Me	Et	**2e**		**ce**	33	67
10	**1d**	Me	t-Bu			**de**	20	80
11	**1b**	H	t-Bu			**bf**	88(75)	12
12	**1c**	Me	Et	**2f**		**cf**	78(62)	22
13	**1d**	Me	t-Bu			**df**	86(75)	14
14	**1d**	Me	t-Bu	**2g**		**dg**	85(68)	15
15	**1b**	H	t-Bu			**bh**	80	20
16	**1d**	Me	t-Bu	**2h**		**dh**	95(86)	5
17	**1a**	H	Me			**ai**	54	46
18	**1b**	H	t-Bu	**2i**		**bi**	40	60
19	**1c**	Me	Et			**ci**	13	87
20	**1a**	H	Me			**aj**	73(52)	27
21	**1b**	H	t-Bu	**2j**		**bj**	83(58)	17
22	**1c**	Me	Et			**cj**	61	39
23	**1d**	Me	t-Bu			**dj**	80(63)	20
24	**1a**	H	Me	**2k**		**ak**	87(74)	13
25	**1d**	Me	t-Bu			**dk**	80	20

[a] All reactions were performed on a 10−20 mmol scale by treating **1a**−**d** with 2 equiv of the arylcuprate in THF at −70 °C and by allowing the reaction mixture to warm to room temperature (30 min; 100% conversion of **1**). [b] The yields, based on starting **1**, were determined by ^{1}H NMR and GC analysis on the crude reaction mixture after workup (isolated yields of >98% pure compounds are shown in parentheses).

TABLE 2. Stereoselectivity in the Synthesis of 3-Aryl-1-alkynes 3 via Coupling Reactions of Arylbromocuprates 2 with Chiral Bromoallenes (S)-1

	(*S*)-**1**		(*R*)-**3**			correlation product			
entry		ee[a] (%)		yield[b] (%)	$[\alpha]^{25}_D$ (heptane)		$[\alpha]^{25}_D$	ee (%)	stereoselectivity (%)
1	(*S*)-**1a**	51	(*R*)-**3ad**	70	−3.95	(*S*)-**10ad**[c]	+25.6[d]	43	92
2	(*S*)-**1a**	51	(*R*)-**3aj**[e]	52	−6.36	(*R*)-**9aj**[f]	−12.7[g]	42	91
3	(*S*)-**1b**	70	(*R*)-**3ba**	65	+0.68	(*S*)-**8ba**[h]	−58.4[i]	58	91
4	(*S*)-**1c**	35	(*R*)-**3ca**[j]	51	−1.32	(*S*)-**10ca**[k]	+10.6[l]	35	100
5	(*S*)-**1c**	35	(*R*)-**3ca**	68	−1.22	(*S*)-**10ca** [k]	+10.3[l]	34[m]	99
6[n]	(*S*)-**1d**	32	(*R*)-**3da**	64	−1.42	(*S*)-**11da**	+10.8[o]	32[m]	100
7[n]	(*S*)-**1d**	32	(*R*)-**3df**	41	−4.17	(*S*)-**11df**		32[m]	100
8[n]	(*S*)-**1d**	32	(*R*)-**3dg**	48	−2.51	(*S*)-**11dg**		32[m]	100

[a] Determined by GC analyses on a Cydex-B chiral column or ^{1}H NMR with heptakis(2,3,6-tri-*O*-methyl)-*β*-cyclodextrin as chiral solvating agent (see ref 8). [b] Isolated yields of pure compounds, obtained by silica gel column chromatography or preparative GC. [c] See ref 9. [d] EtOH (*c* 3.0). [e] A pure sample of 14% ee (*S*)-(+)-1-(2-naphthyl)-1,2-butadiene, (*S*)-**4aj**, was also obtained. [f] See ref 13. [g] Heptane (*c* 2.4). [h] See ref 11a. [i] Neat. [j] A pure sample of 22% ee (*R*)-(−)-1-phenyl-3-methyl-1,2-pentadiene, (*R*)-**4ca**, was also obtained. [k] See ref 12. [l] Benzene (*c* 4.4). [m] Determined by ^{1}H NMR of the corresponding diastereomeric salts with optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine. [n] In this case, the absolute configuration of the stereogenic center of compounds **3** and **11** was assigned on the basis of the 1,3-anti stereochemistry of the coupling process between heterocuprates and 1-bromo-1,2-dienes to afford alkynes, now widely proved (see also refs 3 and 4). [o] $CHCl_3$ (*c* 8.0).

reaction. The 2-naphthylcuprates **2j** and **2k** always afforded the corresponding acetylenic products with good yields (Table 1, entries 20−25). When using the 1-naphthylcuprate **2i** instead, we observed that an increase in the size of the substituents on the bromoallene **1** disfavored the alkyne product (Table 1, entries 17−19).

B. Stereochemical Results. In a previous paper,[3a] we reported that (*R*)-(−)-1-bromo-1,2-butadiene, (*R*)-**1a**, reacted with the phenylbromocuprate **2a** affording as main product (*S*)-(+)-3-phenyl-1-butyne, (*S*)-**3aa**, with 83% 1,3-anti stereoselectivity. In light of this result and taking into account that analogous cross-coupling reactions performed with aliphatic bromocuprates proceeded with almost complete anti stereochemistry,[3d] we considered it useful to evaluate in general the stereochemical outcome of the coupling reaction between enantiomerically enriched bromoallenes **1** and arylbromocuprates **2**. Chiral 1-alkynes **3**, having a tertiary or a quaternary stereogenic center characterized by an aryl group in the α-position to the triple bond, are generally difficult to prepare enantiomerically enriched via conventional procedures[7] and the cross-coupling reaction proposed could then be regarded as a straightforward stereoselective method for their synthesis.

This stereochemical study required the synthesis of the chiral bromoallenes (*S*)-**1a**−**d**. These were achieved by reacting the methanesulfonate esters of the corresponding optically active (*R*)-carbinols with $LiCuBr_2$ or Li_2CuBr_3.[6c,d] The enantiomeric purities of compounds (*S*)-**1a**−**d** were determined by GC analyses on a Cydex-B chiral column and/or ^{1}H NMR in CD_3-OD as solvent and heptakis(2,3,6-tri-*O*-methyl)-*β*-cyclodextrin as chiral solvating agent (Table 2).[8] The stereochemical outcome of the coupling reaction was studied for those cases in Table 1 where the alkynes **3** were the major products. The optically active acetylenic compounds were isolated in chemically pure form (41−70% yields) and correlated to compounds of known or determinable stereochemistry (Table 2 and Scheme 2).

Thus, a sample of levorotatory 3-[4-(2-methylpropyl)phenyl]-1-butyne, (−)-**3ad**, obtained from (*S*)-**1a** (51% ee), was treated with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAH) to yield the corresponding 1-alkene **8ad**. Compound **8ad** was related to dextrorotatory 2-[4-(2-methylpropyl)phenyl]propanoic acid, (+)-**10ad**, of known *S* stereochemistry [(*S*)-ibuprophen, 43% ee][9] by reaction with $KMnO_4-NaIO_4$[10] (Scheme 2; Table 2, entry

SCHEME 2. Correlation of 3-Aryl-1-alkynes to Stereochemically Defined Compounds[a]



[a] Key: (a) see Table 1; (b) i-Bu_2AlH, *n*-pentane, rt, 40−80 h; (c) i-Bu_2AlH, *n*-pentane, 40 °C, 48 h; (d) $KMnO_4-NaIO_4/K_2CO_3$, *t*-BuOH/H_2O, 0 °C, 70−90 h, then diluted H_2SO_4 (5%); (e) *n*-BuLi (1 equiv), hexane, rt, 10−20 h, reflux, 3−5 h, then solid CO_2, 60 h.

(7) Caporusso, A. M.; Lardicci, L. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1983**, 949−953.

(8) (a) Uccello-Barretta, G.; Balzano, F.; Caporusso, A. M.; Salvadori, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 836−839. (b) Uccello-Barretta, G.; Balzano, F.; Caporusso, A. M.; Iodice, A.; Salvadori, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2227−2231.

(9) Kaiser, D. G.; Vangiessen, G. J.; Reische, R. J.; Wechter, W. J. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **1976**, *65*, 269−273.

1). Both the hydroalumination[7] and the oxidative demolition[11] processes were known to proceed in a completely stereospecific manner. Analogously, samples of (−)-3-phenyl-3-methyl-1-pentyne, (−)-**3ca**, obtained from (*S*)-**1c** were transformed into the corresponding (+)-2-phenyl-2-methyl-butanoic acid, (+)-**10ca**, of known *S* stereochemistry[12] (Scheme 2; Table 2, entries 4 and 5). The value of the maximum rotatory power for the acid (*S*)-**10ca** was evaluated by Cram via maximum resolution criteria.[12] However, the relation between the enantiomeric composition and the optical rotation of (+)-**10ca** was confirmed by 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR analysis of the diastereomeric salts obtained by reacting the acid, in $CDCl_3$, with an equimolar amount of optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine. The obtained results perfectly agreed (Table 2, entries 4−5) despite the experimental error connected with the NMR measurements.

The *R* configuration and the enantiomeric composition of the alkynes (−)-**3aj** and (+)-**3ba** (obtained from (*S*)-**1a** and (*S*)-**1b**, respectively) were evaluated by correlation to the corresponding hydroalumination products, the (*R*)-2-(2-naphthyl)-butane, (*R*)-**9aj**,[13] and (*S*)-3-phenyl-4,4-dimethyl-1-pentene, (*S*)-**8ba**,[11a] of known stereochemistry (Scheme 2; Table 2, entries 2 and 3).

All of these data (Table 2, entries 1−5) indicated that the coupling reaction occurred with high stereoselectivity (90−100%) and confirmed the 1,3-anti mechanism. It is noteworthy that the alkyne (*R*)-**3ca**, characterized by a quaternary center at the α-position to the triple bond, was formed with complete stereoselectivity. The stereochemical results were found to be highly reproducible (Table 2, entries 4 and 5).

The significant racemization phenomema (ca. 16−17%) we observed in the coupling reactions yielding alkynes **3** with tertiary propargylic centers (Table 2, entries 1−3) can be thus related to the mobility of the hydrogen atoms to the stereogenic carbon atoms (propargylic and also benzylic) in the reaction conditions. To confirm this hypothesis, compounds **3da**, **3df**, and **3dg**, with quaternary stereogenic centers, were synthesized by reacting (*S*)-**1d** with phenyl-, *p*-methoxyphenyl-, and *p*-fluorophenylbromocuprates, **2a**, **2f**, and **2g**, respectively. The *R* absolute configuration to the obtained chemically pure levorotatory compounds was assigned on the basis of the 1,3-anti stereochemistry now widely proved for the coupling process. The enantiomeric composition of the alkynes was determined by transforming them into the α,*β*-acetylenic acids **11** and by 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR analysis of the corresponding diastereomeric salts with optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine.

The obtained results (Scheme 2; Table 2, entries 6−8) indicated for these cases a complete absence of racemization phenomena (100% stereoselectivity).

In this context, it was also verified that racemic α,*β*-acetylenic acids **11** could be easily resolved into optically pure enantiomeric forms with (+)-dehydroabietylamine as resolving agent, as reported for (*R*/*S*)-4-phenyl-2-pentynoic acid.[7] Thus, a sample of chemically pure (*R*/*S*)-3-phenyl-3,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentyne, (*R*/*S*)-**3da**, obtained in 84% yield from the coupling reaction between (*R*/*S*)-**1d** and the phenylbromocuprate **2a** (Table 1, entry 3), was treated with equimolar amounts of *n*-buthyllithium and then with carbon dioxide to yield the racemic 4-phenyl-4,4,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic acid, **(***R*/*S***)-11da** (75%). The acid was reacted in diethyl ether with (+)-dehydroabietylamine, and the resulting diastereomeric salts were recrystallized three times from 95% ethanol to yield a salt fraction having $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +15.45 ($CHCl_3$). Alkaline hydrolysis followed by acidification gave the acid (*R*)-**11da**, $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −32.70 ($CHCl_3$), which was found to be optically pure by ^{1}H NMR analysis of its diastereomeric salt with (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine. As chiral α,*β*-acetylenic acids could be easily decarboxylated to the corresponding 1-alkynes without significant racemization phenomena [copper(I) chloride in acetonitrile at room temperature],[7] the resolution/decarboxylation procedure was confirmed to be, at least in some cases, an attractive route to obtain optically active 3-aryl-1-alkynes.

SCHEME 3



C. Mechanistic Aspects. Given the undefined structure of the involved organocopper species, every mechanistic interpretation of the data at this stage has to be speculative. However, both the dynamic and stereochemical results (Tables 1 and 2) are consistent with syn addition−anti elimination steps, involving alternatively the C_1-C_2 or the C_2-C_3 double bond of the allenic substrate (Scheme 3; for simplicity, the complex bromocuprate **2** is presented as the monomeric discrete species "ArCuBr") as previously proposed also for reactions of bromoallenes **1** with aliphatic bromo- and cyanocuprates.[3d] The syn attack of the copper reagent on the C_2-C_3 double bond backside of the bromine atom followed by an anti elimination would account for the 1,3-anti stereoselectivity observed in the formation of 1-alkynes **3** (Table 2). By increasing the steric bulkiness of Ar, R^1, and R^2 groups on the reagents, the preference for addition of the copper species to the C_1-C_2 bond increased affording the arylallenes **4** (Table 1) with retention of configuration (Scheme 3) by a successive anti-elimination step.

From the reaction between (*S*)-**1a** and 2-naphthylbromocuprate, **2j**, we obtained the 1-alkyne (*R*)-**3aj** as the main product as well as small amounts of chemically pure (*S*)-1-(2-naphthyl)-1,2-butadiene, (*S*)-**4aj**, with retention of configuration and extensive racemization, $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +32.4 (*c* 3.3, *n*-heptane), (Table 2, entry 2).[14−16] Almost completely racemized (*R*)-1-phenyl-1,2-butadiene, (*R*)-**4aa**, $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −1.20 (*c* 3.0, ethanol) (<1%

(10) Gil-Av, E.; Shabtai, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, *29*, 257−262.
(11) (a) Lardicci, L.; Menicagli, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1972**, *37*, 1060−1062. (b) Lardicci, L.; Salvadori, P.; Caporusso, A. M.; Menicagli, R.; Belgodere, E. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1972**, *102*, 64−84.
(12) (a) Cram, D. J.; Allinger, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, *76*, 4516−4522. (b) Cram, D. J.; Knight, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 5835−5838.
(13) Menicagli, R.; Piccolo, O.; Lardicci, L.; Wis, M. L. *Tetrahedron* **1979**, *35*, 1301−1306.

SCHEME 4



ee),[17] was generated by reaction of (*R*)-**1a** (27% ee) with phenylbromocuprate, **2a**, along with (*S*)-3-phenyl-1-butyne, (*S*)-**3aa**, (18% ee) as the major product.[3a] The racemization phenomena can be attributed to the contact, during the reaction, of the allenic products with the cuprate or the Cu(0) which might arise from decomposition of the cuprate itself.[18] However, this process should not be very fast under our experimental conditions. A different explanation could be related to the possible presence of multiple mechanisms acting simultaneously. On the other hand, from the reaction between (*S*)-**1c** and the phenylcuprate **2a**, which afforded (*R*)-3-phenyl-3-methyl-1-pentyne, (*R*)-**3ca**, as the main product, we obtained the phenylallene (*R*)-**4ca**, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ −25.1 (*c* 9.0, n.heptane), racemized and with inversion of configuration (Table 2, entry 4).[3b] As proposed by Corey and Boaz,[19] the anti selectivity for both the acetylenic and the allenic products, as well as the regiochemical results (Table 1), could be rationalized through the mechanism reported in Scheme 4. Initially, the nucleophilic copper attacks anti to the bromine yielding the *σ*-propargylic Cu^{III} intermediate **12** (oxidative addition) which equilibrates to the *σ*-allenyl derivative **13** via a suprafacial 1,3-shift (Scheme 4). The Cu^{III} transient species readily collapse by reductive eliminations to give the alkyne **3** and/or the allene **4** with the observed anti stereoselectivity. Formation of **4** should be favored as it increases the steric bulkiness of both the substrate and the copper reagent.

It is nevertheless more likely that 1-alkynes **3** originated essentially from addition−elimination steps as shown in Scheme 3,[3d] while competitive routes with different stereochemistry (such those depicted in Schemes 3 and 4) should account for the formation of arylallenes **4**. In all cases which started from allenyl or propargyl substrates, an equilibrium between *σ*-allenyl and *σ*-propargylmetalcomplexes was postulated (Scheme 4), and the formation, with anti stereselectivity, of allenes as the only products was attributed to the higher stability of the *σ*-allenyl complex. As an example, chiral allenic bromides and 2-propynyl esters in palladium-catalyzed reactions with organozinc reagents gave only allenic products[18] as well as 2-propynyl esters with organocopper(I) derivatives of different natures;[8b,17,21] in all cases, a high anti stereochemistry was observed.

D. Coupling of 1-Bromo-3-Phenylpropadiene with Zinc Alkylcyanocuprates. Zinc alkylcynocuprates RCu(CN)ZnCl·2LiCl **5a**−**f** (Knochel reagents)[5] were generated in THF at −10 °C from alkylzinc chlorides and the soluble copper salt CuCN·2LiCl; the necessary organozinc derivatives were obtained from the corresponding Grignard reagents by transmetalation with $ZnCl_2$. In particular, the starting Grignard reagents of **5d** and **5f** were prepared in THF from 3-chloro-1-propanol and 4-bromo-1-trimethylsilyl-1-butyne according to procedures previously reported by Normant[22] and Rossi.[23] When the cyanocuprates **5a**−**f** were reacted with 1-bromo-3-phenylpropadiene **1e** (molar ratio 2:1), the desired 3-phenyl-1-alkynes **3** were selectively obtained (Table 3), contrary to what we previously observed with alkylbromocuprates **2** which afforded essentially polymeric byproducts.[3a] However, once again, the structure of the alkyl in the organocopper species significantly affected the selectivity of the reaction. In general with primary cuprates the reaction proceeded to completion within 1−2 h at −70 °C yielding exclusively the acetylenic products **3** (Table 3, entries 1, 4, and 6). With secondary and tertiary copper reagents a decrease in the reaction rate as well as in the chemo- and regioselectivity was observed instead. Therefore, the isopropylzinc cuprate **5b** afforded the alkyne **3eb** together with minor amounts of the allenic regioisomer **4eb** (Table 3, entry 2). The *tert*-butyl derivative **5c** provided substantial amounts of phenylallene **14**, probably via metal−halogen exchange processes, along with the expected coupling products **3ec** and **4ec** (Table 3, entry 3).

These data completely agreed with the results previously obtained by reacting alkylcyanocuprates **5** with aliphatic 1-bromoallenes **1a**−**d**.[4] They also suggested that an appropriate selection of the structure of the Knochel reagent (primary or secondary) in the cross-coupling with **1e** could yield a large variety of functionalized 3-phenyl-1-alkynes, such as α,*ω*-acetylenic carbinols, α,*ω*-enynes, and α,*ω*-diynes, useful synthetic intermediates not easily available via simple alternative methods.[24] In this context, it is worth noting that the coupling reaction could be performed with primary alkylzinc chlorides in the presence of catalytic amounts (10%) of CuCN·2LiCl, affording quantitatively the 1-alkynes **3** isolated in high yields (Table 3, entries 5 and 7).[4] The reaction rate of the catalytic process was generally slower than that of the stoichiometric one, but an increase in the reaction temperature (−70 °C to rt) overcame this inconvenience (Table 3, entries 5 and 7 v/s entries 4 and 6).

(14) The absolute (*S*)-configuration of (+)-**4aj** was deduced from the Lowe's extension of Brewster's rules (see ref 15) and confirmed by the Runge "chirality functions approach" (see ref 16); the enantiomeric purity was evaluated by GC analysis on a Cydex-B chiral column.

(15) (a) Lowe, G. *Chem Commun*. **1965**, 411−413. (b) Brewster, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1959**, *81*, 5475−5483.

(16) Elsevier, C. J.; Vermeer, P.; Runge, W. *Isr. J. Chem*. **1985**, *26*, 174−180.

(17) Elsevier, C. J.; Vermeer, P. *J. Org. Chem*. **1989**, *54*, 3726−3730.

(18) (a) Claesson, A.; Olsson, L. I. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. **1979**, 524−525. (b) Chenier, J. H. B.; Howard, J. A.; Mile, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1985**, *107*, 4190−4191.

(19) Corey, E. J.; Boaz, N. W. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1984**, *25*, 3059−3062.

(20) (a) Elsevier, C. J.; Vermeer, P. *J. Org. Chem*. **1985**, *50*, 3042−3045. (b) Elsevier, C. J.; Kleijn, H.; Boersma, J.; Vermeer, P. *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 716−780.

(21) (a) Dollat, J. M.; Luche, J. L.; Crabbé, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. **1977**, 761−762. (b) Vermeer, P.; Meijer, J.; Brandsma, L. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1975**, *94*, 112−114.

(22) Cahiez, G.; Alexakis, A.; Normant, J. F. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1978**, *19*, 3013−3014.

(23) Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Ciofalo, M.; Lippolis, V. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 8443−8460.

(24) (a) Feldman, K. S.; Bruendl, M. M.; Schildknegt, K.; Bohnstedt, A. C. *J. Org. Chem*. **1996**, *61*, 5440−5452. (b) Yan, J.; Zhu, J.; Matasi, J. J. *J. Org. Chem*. **1999**, *64*, 1291−1301. (c) Cadierno, V.; Conejero, S.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 3837−3940.

TABLE 3. Coupling Reactions of Zinc Alkylcyanocuprates 5 with 1-Bromo-3-phenylpropadiene, 1e [a]



1e 5 3 4 14

entry	cyanocuprate, 5	R	T (°C)	t (h)	products 3, 4	yield[b] (%) 3	4	14
1	**5a**	Et	−70	1	**ea**	100 (73)		
2	**5b**	*i*-Pr	−70 → rt	2	**eb**	94	6	
3	**5c**	*t*-Bu	−70 → rt	3	**ec**[c]	50	12	38
4	**5d**	$ClMgO(CH_2)_3$	−70	2	**ed**	100 (77)[d]		
5[e]	**5d**	$ClMgO(CH_2)_3$	−70 → rt	1	**ed**	100 (80)[d]		
6	**5e**	$CH_2{=}CH(CH_2)_2$	−70	1	**ee**	100 (69)		
7[e]	**5e**	$CH_2{=}CH(CH_2)_2$	−70 → rt	1	**ee**	100 (82)		
8	**5f**	$Me_3SiC{\equiv}C(CH_2)_2$	−70 → rt	2	**ef**	100 (68)		

[a] Except as noted all reactions were run in 10−20 mmol scale by treating **1e** with 2 equiv of zinc cyanocuprate **5** in THF at −70 °C and by allowing the reaction mixture to warm to room temperature. [b] The yields, based on starting **1e**, were determined by ^{1}H NMR and GC analysis on the crude reaction mixture after workup (isolated yields of >98% pure compounds are shown in parentheses). [c] Compounds **3ec** and **4ec** are identical to **3ba** and **4ba**, respectively. [d] As acetylenic carbinol. [e] The reaction was performed by treating **1e** with 2 equiv of the desired organozinc reagent (RZnCl) in the presence of 10 mol % of CuCN·2LiCl.

Conclusions

The 1,3-substitution on the allenic bromides **1** with arylbromocuprates **2** was found to be a very useful method of obtaining a large variety of 3-aryl-1-alkynes **3** with good yields. The chemoselectivity of the process depended on several factors, with the structure of the aryl group of the copper reagent playing a key role. Indeed, considerable amounts of allenic byproducts **4**, deriving from a direct substitution, were detected as the size of this group increased.

The stereochemical results indicated that the acetylenic compounds were formed in an unambiguous anti fashion with very high optical yields. In particular, starting from optically active 3,3-dialkyl-1-bromoallenes, the 1,3-substitution process appeared as a stereospecific synthetic pathway for the construction of enantiomerically enriched quaternary stereogenic centers, characterized by an aryl and an ethynyl group. Since a triple bond represents a very useful synthetic moiety, the reported method can be applied to the preparation of a large variety of optically active organic molecules.

From the stereochemical results, we proposed also that the coupling reaction which afforded the 1-alkynes **3** proceeded via an addition−elimination mechanism rather than through a Cu^{III} intermediate.

From a synthetic point of view, it is noteworthy that the cross-coupling reaction of primary functionalized Knochel reagents with 1-bromo-3-phenylpropadiene **1e** afforded selectively *ω*-functionalized 3-phenyl-1-alkynes in high yields.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for Coupling Reactions of Arylbromocuprates 2a−k with Bromoallenes 1a−d: Synthesis of 3-Aryl-1-alkynes 3 (Tables 1 and 2). All reactions were carried out at least in duplicate. The required arylbromocuprate **2** (40 mmol) in THF (120 mL) was cooled at −70 °C, and a solution of the 1-bromo-1,2-diene **1** (20 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added over a period of 5 min. After stirring was continued at −70 °C for 10 min, the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature (30 min). Generally, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution (100 mL), while dilute sodium hydroxide was used in the reactions carried out with the *p*-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminophenyl-cuprate **2h** (entries 15 and 16 in Table 1). The organic materials were extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 100 mL), and the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (Na_2SO_4), and analyzed by GC and GC−MS. The solvents were removed at reduced pressure (10−20 mmHg), and a ^{1}H NMR spectrum of the crude product was determined. Successive fractional distillation (Fischer-Spaltrohr column) and/or column silica gel chromatography (pentane as eluent) afforded pure samples of alkynes **3** and, in most cases, arylallenes **4**, which were identified and characterized by spectroscopic and analytical data; when necessary, larger scale reactions or preparative GC were used for these separations. Most of the products were also identified by spectroscopic or chromatographic comparison with authentic samples.[3a,8,25]

General Procedure for the Hydroalumination of (*R*)-3-Aryl-1-alkynes (*R*)-3: Optically Active 3-Aryl-1-alkenes 8 (Scheme 2, Table 2). In a typical experiment, 10−20 mmol of the alkyne (*R*)-**3** was added, at 0 °C, to a solution of diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAH) (15−40 mmol) in anhydrous *n*-pentane (30−60 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for the desired time (40−80 h; the progress of the reaction was monitored by GC) and was then cautiously hydrolyzed with water and dilute sulfuric acid. The organic materials were extracted with *n*-pentane; the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated to dryness. Optically active products **8** were obtained chemically pure by fractional distillation.

(*R*)-3-[4-(2-Methylpropyl)phenyl]-1-butene [(*R*)-8ad]: 99% yield; ^{1}H NMR *δ* 0.90 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.85 (m, 1H), 2.44 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 5.01 (m, 1H), 5.06 (m, 1H), 6.02 (ddd, *J* = 16.9, 10.4, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR *δ* 20.6, 22.2, 30.1, 42.7, 44.9, 112.9, 127.0, 129.3, 139.6, 142.9, 143.7. Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}$: C, 89.29; H, 10.71. Found: C, 89.31; H, 10.69.

(S)-4,4-Dimethyl-3-phenyl-1-pentene [(*S*)-8ba] (entry 3, Table 2): 90% yield; bp 84 °C (7 mmHg); $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −58.4 (neat, d^{25}_4 0.8808); ^{1}H NMR *δ* 0.89 (s, 9H), 3.01 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 2.0, 16.6 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, *J* = 2.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (dt, *J* = 9.6, 16.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (m, 5H). [Optically pure (*S*)-**8ba** is reported to have $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −101 (neat).][11a]

(*R*)-3-Methyl-3-phenyl-1-pentene [(*R*)-8ca]: 95% yield; bp 89 °C (17 mmHg); ^{1}H NMR *δ* 0.76 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.79 (m, 2H), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 1.4, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, *J* = 21.4, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 7.10−7.40 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR *δ* 8.7, 24.2, 33.3, 44.4, 111.9, 125.8, 126.9, 128.1, 147.1, 147.6; GC−MS(EI) *m*/*z* (rel int) 160 (M^+, 10), 145 (6), 131

(25) Uccello-Barretta, G.; Bernardini, R.; Balzano, F.; Caporusso, A. M.; Salvadori, P. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 205−207.

(100), 115 (18), 103 (8), 91 (54), 77 (11), 65 (6), 51 (9). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}$: C, 89.94; H, 10.06. Found: C, 90.03; H, 9.97.

Hydroalumination of (*R*)-3-(2-Naphthyl)-1-butyne [(*R*)-3aj] to (*R*)-2-(2-Naphthyl)butane [(*R*)-9aj] (Entry 2, Table 2). A solution of (*R*)-**3aj** [1.0 g, 5.56 mmol, $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −6.36 (heptane)] in *n*-pentane (5 mL) was added, at 0 °C, to 30 mmol of DIBAH. The reaction mixture was stirred for 72 h at room temperature, and then a further amount of DIBAH (10 mmol) was added. After stirring was continued at 40 °C for 48 h, the mixture was hydrolyzed as above. Usual workup and successive fractional distillation gave chemically pure (*R*)-**9aj** (0.52 g, 51% yield): bp 93 °C (0.9 mmHg); $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −12.7 (*c* 4.7, heptane); ^{1}H NMR δ 0.84 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.68 (dq, J = 6.9, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.75 (m, 1H), 7.37−7.81 (m, 7H). [Optically pure (*R*)-**9aj** is reported to have $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −30.2 (heptane).][13]

$KMnO_4$/$NaIO_4$ Oxidative Demolition of (*R*)-2-[4-(2-Methylpropyl)phenyl]-1-butene [(*R*)-8ad]: (*S*)-2-[4-(2-Methylpropyl)-phenyl]propanoic Acid [(*S*)-10ad] (Entry 1, Table 2). An aqueous solution (1250 mL) containing 26.3 g (123 mmol) of $NaIO_4$, 0.33 g (2.1 mmol) of $KMnO_4$, and 2.26 g (16.4 mmol) of K_2CO_3 was added to a well-stirred ice-cooled solution of 2.65 g (14 mmol) of (*R*)-**8ad** in 60% aqueous *tert*-butyl alcohol (1420 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 65 h and then treated with $NaHSO_3$ and alkalinized with solid NaOH. The MnO_2 precipitate was eliminated by filtration, and the clarified mixture was concentrated in a vacuum (17 mmHg), extracted with diethyl ether, and acidified with diluted H_2SO_4 (5%). The acid aqueous phase was extracted with ether, and the combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated into a vacuo. Fractional distillation gave chemically pure (*S*)-**10ad** (2.10 g, 73% yield): bp 110 °C (0.1 mmHg); $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +25.6 (*c* 3.0, EtOH); ^{1}H NMR δ 0.89 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H) 1.50(d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR: δ 17.9, 22.2, 30.0, 44.8, 127.4, 129.6, 137.2, 141.1, 181.0. [Optically pure (*S*)-**10ad** is reported to have $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +60.0 (EtOH).][9]

(*S*)-2-Phenyl-2-methylbutanoic Acid [(*S*)-10ca] (Entry 4, Table 2). According to the above procedure, 2.7 g (17 mmol) of (*R*)-3-phenyl-3-methyl-1-pentene (*R*)-**8ca** was treated, at 0 °C for 94 h, with the $KMnO_4$/$NaIO_4$ oxidation system. Usual workup and successive fractional distillation gave chemically pure (*S*)-**10ca** (2.7 g, 87% yield): bp 124 °C (1.5 mmHg); mp 64−69 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +10.6 (*c* 4.4, benzene); ^{1}H NMR δ 0.85 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 2.05 (m, 2H), 7.20−7.50 (m, 5H). [Optically pure **(S)-10ca** is reported to have $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +30.2 (benzene).][12]

The enantiomeric excess (ee) of a sample of (*S*)-**10ca** having $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +10.3 (*c* 4.4, benzene) (see entry 5 in Table 2) was determined to be 34% by ^{1}H NMR analysis of the diastereomeric salts obtained by reacting the product, in $CDCl_3$, with an equimolar amount of optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine: ^{1}H NMR δ 1.17 [s, 0.99H, (*R*,*R*)-*Me*C(Ph)COO$^-$], 1.19 [s, 2.01H, (*S*,*R*)-*Me*C-(Ph)COO$^-$]).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 4-Aryl-4,5,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic Acids 11 starting from 3-Aryl-1-alkynes 3 (Scheme 2, Table 2). A solution of the appropriate, racemic or optically active, alkyne **3** (10−15 mmol) in hexane (10−15 mL) was added dropwise, at 0 °C, to an equimolar amount of *n*-butyllithium 1.6 N in hexane. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10−15 h, heated under reflux for 3−5 h, and treated with solid carbon dioxide for 60 h. After the mixture was quenched with ice and diluted H_2SO_4 (5%), the organic materials were extracted with ether, and the α,*β*-acetylenic acid **11** was purified through his sodium salt. Distillation gave the pure acid.

(*R*/*S*)-4-Phenyl-4,5,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic acid [(*R*/*S*)-11da]: 75% yield; bp 145 °C (0.01 mmHg); ^{1}H NMR δ 0.99 (s, 9H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 7.30 (m, 3H), 7.47 (m, 2H), 10.62 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR δ 22.5, 26.4, 37.8, 48.1, 75.9, 97.7, 127.2, 127.8, 128.8, 141.0, 158.5. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{18}O_2$: C, 78.23; H, 7.88. Found: C, 78.38; H, 7.86.

(*S*)-4-Phenyl-4,5,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic acid [(*S*)-11da] (entry 6, Table 2): 80% yield; bp 145 °C (0.01 mmHg); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +10.80 (*c* 8.0, $CHCl_3$); the ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were identical with those of the racemic compound (*R*/*S*)-**11da** (see above). The enantiomeric excess (ee) of the sample was determined to be 32% by ^{1}H NMR analysis of the diastereomeric salts obtained by reacting the product, in $CDCl_3$, with an equimolar amount of optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine: ^{1}H NMR δ 0.76 [s, 5.94H, (*S*,*R*)-*t*-*Bu*C(Ph)] 0.77 [s, 3.06H, (*R*,*R*)-*t*-*Bu*C(Ph)].

(*S*)-4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4,5,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic acid [(*S*)-11df] (entry 7, Table 2): 74% yield; ^{1}H NMR δ 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 6.85 (m, 2H), 7.37 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR δ 22.6, 26.3, 37.7, 47.3, 55.2, 75.3, 97.7, 112.7, 129.4, 132.8, 157.9, 158.4. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_3$: C, 73.82; H, 7.74. Found: C, 73.90; H, 7.69. The enantiomeric excess (ee) of the sample was determined to be 32% by ^{1}H NMR analysis of the diastereomeric salts obtained by reacting the product, in $CDCl_3$, with an equimolar amount of optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine: ^{1}H NMR δ 3.624 [s, 1.02H, (*R*,*R*)-O*Me*], 3.651 [s, 1.98H, (*S*,*R*)-O*Me*].

(*S*)-4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4,5,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic acid [(S)-11dg] (entry 8, Table 2): 88% yield; ^{1}H NMR δ 0.91 (s, 9H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 6.93 (m, 2H), 7.36 (m, 2H), 8.29 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR δ 22.5, 26.0, 37.6, 47.4, 75.7, 96.8, 114.3 (d, J_{CF} = 21.2 Hz), 130.1 (d, J_{CF} = 7.9 Hz), 136.6 (d, J_{CF} = 3.4 Hz), 158.1, 162.0 (d, J_{CF} = 247 Hz). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{17}FO_2$: C, 72.56; H, 6.90. Found: C, 72.64; H, 6.93. The enantiomeric excess (ee) of the sample was determined to be 32% by ^{1}H NMR analysis of the diastereomeric salts obtained by reacting the product, in $CDCl_3$, with an equimolar amount of optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethylamine: ^{1}H NMR δ 1.321 [s, 1.02H, (*R*,*R*)-*Me*C(Ar)], 1.327 [s, 1.98H, (*S*,*R*)-*Me*C-(Ar)].

Resolution of (*R*/*S*)-4-Phenyl-4,5,5-trimethyl-2-hexynoic Acid (*R*/*S*)-11da. A solution of the racemic α,*β*-acetylenic acid **11da** (13.7 g, 60 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 mL) was added to a stirred solution of (+)-dehydroabietylamine (17.2 g, 60 mmol) in ether (200 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature, and the insoluble salt was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether, dried into a vacuo [29.0 g, 94% yield; mp 80 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +24.32 (*c* 5.0, $CHCl_3$)], and then recrystallized three times from 95% ethanol to yield a fraction (6.5 g, 22%) having mp 196 °C and $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +15.45 (*c* 5.0, $CHCl_3$). This salt fraction was treated, at 0 °C, with aqueous NaOH (20%) and the dehydroabietylamine extracted with ether. The aqueous solution was acidified with 5% HCl and extracted with ether (200 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water, dried (Na_2SO_4), and distilled to give the pure acid (*R*)-**11da** (2.58 g, 89%): bp 145 °C (0.01 mmHg); $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ −32.70 (*c* 8.3, $CHCl_3$). The spectral and analytical data were identical with those of the racemic mixture. The enantiomeric excess (ee) of the sample was determined to be 100% as the ^{1}H NMR analysis of the diastereomeric salt obtained with optically pure (*R*)-1-(1-naphthyl)-ethylamine showed only a signal for the *t*-Bu moiety of the product: ^{1}H NMR δ 0.77 [s, 9H, (*R*,*R*)-*t*-*Bu*C(Ph)] (see above).

General Procedure for Coupling Reactions of Zinc Cyanocuprates 5a−f with 1-Bromo-3-phenylpropadiene 1e: Synthesis of 3-Phenyl-1-alkynes (3ea−ef) (Table 3). All reactions were carried out at least in duplicate. A solution of the required alkylmagnesium halide (20 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added, at 0 °C, to a stirred THF solution of $ZnCl_2$ (20 mmol, 10 mL). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and then treated, at −10 °C, with a solution of 18 mmol of CuCN·2LiCl prepared in THF (50 mL) [Some reactions were performed in the presence of a catalytic amount of the cuprous salt (1.8 mmol, 10 mol % relative to the organozinc reagent; entries 5 and 7 in Table 3).] Stirring was continued at 0 °C during 30 min, then the reaction mixture was cooled at −70 °C and the 1-bromo-3-phenylpropadiene **1e** (1.80 g, 9 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added over a period of 5 min. Stirring was continued at −70 °C, and the mixture was monitored for completion by GC. When necessary, the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was allowed to warm to room

temperature. Standard workup and successive fractional distillation (Fischer−Spaltrohr column) and/or column silica gel chromatography (pentane as eluent) afforded pure samples of alkynes **3** characterized by spectral and analytical data. Some products were identified by spectroscopic or chromatographic comparison with authentic samples.[3a]

New products **3ed**−**ef** were as follows:

3-Phenyl-1-hexyn-6-ol (3ed): ^{1}H NMR δ 1.60−1.90 (m, 4H), 2.03 (s, 1H), 2.28 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (m, 1H), 7.30 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR δ 30.2, 34.4, 37.3, 62.4, 71.2 (≡CH), 85.7 (−C≡), 126.8, 127.3, 128.5, 141.2; GC−MS(EI) *m*/*z* (rel int) 174 (M^+), 156 (4), 130 (26), 129 (30), 128 (18), 115 (100), 91 (4), 89 (25), 77 (7), 65 (10), 63 (12), 51 (11). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}O$: C, 82.72; H, 8.10. Found: C, 82.68; H, 8.06.

3-Phenyl-6-hepten-1-yne (3ee): ^{1}H NMR δ 1.78−1.91 (m, 2H), 2.14−2.27 (m, 2H), 2.27 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (m, 1H), 4.99 (m, 1H), 5.05 (m, 1H), 5.81 (ddt, J = 6.6, 10.3, 17.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18−7.40 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR δ 31.3, 36.9, 37.4, 71.1 (≡CH), 85.7 (−C≡), 115.3, 126.8, 127.4, 128.5, 137.7, 141.4; GC−MS(EI) *m*/*z* (rel int) 170 (M^+, 3), 169 (2), 155 (28), 142 (20), 141 (15), 129 (16), 128 (36), 127 (11), 115 (100), 91 (15), 89 (17), 79 (8). Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}$: C, 91.71; H, 8.29. Found: C, 91.68; H, 8.32.

1-Trimethylsilyl-5-phenyl-1,6-heptadiyne (3ef): ^{1}H NMR δ 0.16 (s, 9H), 1.90−2.02 (m, 2H), 2.20−2.45 (m, 2H), 2.28 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 7.20−7.40 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR δ 0.1, 17.8, 36.4, 37.1, 71.4 (≡CH), 85.1 (−C≡), 85.4 (−C≡), 106.1 (≡C−Si), 127.0, 127.4, 128.6, 140.5; GC−MS(EI) *m*/*z* (rel int) 240 (M^+, 2), 239 (3), 225 (61), 209 (21), 197 (17), 195 (25), 181 (26), 167 (20), 166 (19), 165 (20), 128 (23), 115 (49), 73 (100). Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}Si$: C, 79.93; H, 8.38. Found: C, 79.85; H, 8.40.

Supporting Information Available: General remarks and spectral and analytical data for all new acetylenic **3** and allenic **4** cross-coupling products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO0522456